

**ADJOURNMENT MOTION TABLED BY SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA**

**SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA** (Periyapatna).—Sir, I submitted a very important adjournment motion.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will deal with it.

**SRI D. M. SIDDIAH** (Beligere).—I request the Chair that Supplementary Grants may be taken up under rule 27.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Sri Channabasappa has given notice of an adjournment motion on the ground of the statement made by the hon'ble Minister for Public Works in the course of his reply to the debate on the Demand for Grants in respect of Public Works. During that time he said that so far as Krishna-Godavari water dispute is concerned, Government have taken every step and they will wait for a period of about three months because they will have to impress upon the Government of India. The adjournment motion of Sri Channabasappa emphasises that this period of three months will cost incalculable harm to the Mysore State and even a minute's delay will cause harm and therefore the matter will have to be taken up for immediate consideration.

I understand the implication to the extent if it is as envisaged by Sri Channabasappa that delay is not desirable. The entire matter relating to Krishna-Godavari water disputes—that is also there and it could be seen from the proceedings up till now—and members are eager that this motion should be discussed. I have discussed the matter with the Whips of the parties. I am admitting the motion for adjournment limited to the extent of the harm that is going to arise on account of the delay and the scope of the debate will be restricted to that only and the time that will be allotted for that debate will be one hour and the adjournment motion will be taken up tomorrow at five O'clock.

---

**Member's Representation**

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ (ರಾಯಚೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ನಿರೂಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ದಿವಸಗಳಿಂದ ಸತತವಾಗಿ ಮಳೆಯಾಗಿ ಸುಮಾರು 20 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳ ಜನರು ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು, ದನಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ನಿರ್ಗತಿಕರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೆ.....

2-30 P.M.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Government must have some sort of notice to get at the facts because they are supposed to have happened in one of the villages in a District. I would suggest to consider whether a Call Attention will not be a proper one for this ?

**Sri M. NAGAPPA.**—Sir, I will cite a ruling. In a similar situation like this in 1952 in Madras, it came up before the Madras Assembly. There was a cyclone in 1952. The Chief Minister was asked to give a statement and the matter was discussed there. I will give the reference; Vol. No. 6 of 1952—Madras Legislative Assembly Debates, 4th December to 10th December. The matter came up for discussion on 8th December. Therefore I submit that this matter may be taken up and I may be given permission to move the adjournment motion.

\* **Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will look into it.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).**—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಅವಸರದ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. 27ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ರಾಜ್ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುವಾಗ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ರಾಜ್ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ವಿಚಾರ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳದೆ, ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ರಾಜ್ಯಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ಬಿರುವ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ತುರ್ತು ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಯಾವಾಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ನಡೆದು 8 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ತಳಹದಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕ ಮನೋಭಾವದಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಕಳವಳ ಉಂಟುಮಾಡುವ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅವಸರದ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಜಾಗೃತ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will look into it.

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—The Chair was pleased to observe that the adjournment motion would be taken up at 5 P.M. tomorrow for one hour. I submit that in view of the importance of the situation that is arising out of that statement I require at least about 45 minutes to impress on the members of the House about the gravity of the situation and what danger is there so that the members may know it. Therefore, I feel one hour is insufficient and I would suggest that the time may be raised to two hours so that a couple of members on either side may participate.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I thought there may be no need for other members on this side to speak. On the other hand now that as the member said other members will have to speak, the time has to be apportioned. On this side I thought the honourable member is the best person to speak. Please try to help the Chair.

**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—I am very earnest in helping the Chair to transact business. You were pleased to observe how important it is. It is a very important matter, a matter having far reaching consequences and economic interest of the State. I would therefore like to submit that two hours may be allotted.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—He has made a submission. I have said what I have to say. I do not know whether the time should be extended. We shall see as matters develop.

There is one matter which I would like to mention to the House. The member would have seen that according to the Agenda the supplementary demands are discussed in the other House.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—It should be discussed here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall we take it up?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

## SUPPLEMENTARY AND ADDITIONAL DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR 1967-63 (1st Instalment).

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR (Deputy Minister for Finance).—Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor, I beg to move:—

“That a further sum not exceeding Rs. 2,00,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of 19, General Administration.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved.

“That on the recommendation of the Governor a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,00,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of 19, General Administration.”

This Demand and the other Demands *viz.* 20, 23, 24, 25, 31, 39, 44, 46 and 54 are deemed to have been moved and the debate will begin. Only one day is allotted for this.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಪತಿಯವರೇ, ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಉಪನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು ಮೂರು ಕೋಟಿ 47 ಲಕ್ಷದ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯರೀಡಿಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯರೀಡಿಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸುವಾಗ ನಾವು ಒಂದು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಯಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮೂಲಕ ತಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಯಿಂದ ತಮ್ಮ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ, ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಬಿಲ್ ಪಾಸಾಗಿ ಎರಡು ದಿನಗಳಾಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಪುನಃ ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯರೀಡಿಯಾಂಡನ್ನು 47 ಕೋಟಿ 47 ಲಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ, ನೀವು ಏನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಈ ದೇಶದ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎವೇಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯರೀಡಿಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ತರಲೇಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾನ್ಸೂಷನ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ವಾದ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಇದೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯರೀಡಿಯಾಂಡನ್ನು ತರಬೇಕಾದರೂ...

“Article 205 of the Constitution of India provides that if the amount authorised by the Appropriation Act to be expended for a particular service for the current year is found to be insufficient for the purpose of that year or when a need has arisen during the current financial year for supplementary or additional expenditure . . .”